



Cortell Australia Pty Ltd

CoreBIS

Testing Verification

31/08/2025 - 2025-Q3



---

**Cortell Australia Pty Ltd ABN: 86 096 725 567 GIRC Q-4255**

**Brisbane:** Level 4, Suite 4, 80 Ann Street, Brisbane, QLD 4000  
**Melbourne:** Level 13, Suite 1306, 401 Docklands Drive, Docklands, VIC 3008  
Tel: +61 2 9438 3940

**Sydney:** Suite 303, Level 3, 460 Pacific Highway, St Leonards, NSW 2065  
**Canberra:** Level 1, The Realm, 18 National Circuit, Barton, ACT, 2600  
Fax: +61 2 9438 3947

Web: [www.cortell.com.au](http://www.cortell.com.au) e-mail: [info@cortell.com.au](mailto:info@cortell.com.au)

## Revision History

Date	Version	Description	Author
18/08/2025	0.1	Create Document	Robert McClelland
26/08/2025	1.0	Finalise Document	Karl Blackler

# Table of Contents

Revision History.....	2
<b>1. Summary .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. Code Updates .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 CU362: Validation Rules D2A execution not running all (Risk: L).....	5
2.2 CU365: System Cubes Archive screen takes too long to open (Risk: L).....	5
2.3 CU368: D2A update - Failed ODBC clears everything (Risk: M) .....	6
2.4 CU371: Add Drill View not working (Risk: L) .....	7
2.5 CU374: #Value errors with PA 2.1.12 (Risk: L).....	8
2.6 CU376: ARS_110: SFI status changes rules (Risk: L) .....	9
2.7 CU377: ARS_110: ARS_115 Link missing 12.5% (Risk: L) .....	10
2.8 CU378: ARF_180_1: Derivation rule: CS23687 (Risk: L) .....	11
2.9 CU379: ARF_221_0 - Counterparty linkage dropping (Risk: L).....	12
<b>3. Enhancements to Existing Features .....</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1 EF356: Update Process Security to align with Groups (Risk: H).....	13
3.2 EF363: Review D2A Process for derivations for performance (Risk: L) .....	13
3.3 EF370: Workflow Administration: Version Selection (Risk: L) .....	14
3.4 EF372: APRA Connect Table Mapping Performance (Risk: L) .....	16
3.5 EF373: Add a recurring flag in the table adjustment screen (Risk: L).....	16
3.6 EF381: Table Mapping: Add a weighting to the mapping (Risk: L).....	17
<b>4. New Features .....</b>	<b>19</b>
4.1 NF380: Market Share: Quarterly Centralised Publication (Risk: L) .....	19
<b>5. New Taxonomy .....</b>	<b>20</b>
5.1 EF382: ARS_110 (ADI_CAPAD) Taxonomy Update (Risk: L) .....	20

## 1. Summary

---

This document identifies what testing has occurred on each change in this CoreBIS release.

## 2. Code Updates

### 2.1 CU362: Validation Rules D2A execution not running all (Risk: L)

The overnight process, CUBE\_APRA\_Validation\_Rules\_Result, has not been executing in full due to parameters limiting its execution to specific forms. The parameters have been completely removed to ensure the process runs in full.

**Test Goals:** Confirm the CUBE\_APRA\_Validation\_Rules\_Result process runs, in full, as part of the overnight update.

**Test Method:** Run the overnight Master update, and review the process log to confirm CUBE\_APRA\_Validation\_Rules\_Result ran in full, using all parameters.

**Test Status:** Success

Day	Process	Start	End	Duration (Seconds)	Transaction Count	User	Parameters	Error File	In Error
22	CUBE_APRA_Validation_Rules_Result	11:35:51	11:36:44	53.000	2417	Admin	pAPRA_Return_Version = , pAPRA_Entity = , pAPRA_Form_Variant =		0

### 2.2 CU365: System Cubes Archive screen takes too long to open (Risk: L)

When archiving System cubes, users have been experiencing long delays when opening the archive screen, particularly for cubes with large data sets such as ARS 112. This is because CoreBIS will review and assess all of the data when users click "Review Loaded Data".

This process has been amended to improve the speed of the response. The process will now run in the background, and users can click Refresh to load the screen once complete.

**Test Goals:** Test by reviewing the loaded data of a large data set in the System Archive screen to ensure an improved response time.

**Test Method:** Test by reviewing the data loaded into ARS 112\_Table\_1 and measuring the response time.

**Test Status:** Success

2.3 CU368: D2A update - Failed ODBC clears everything (Risk: M)


Previously, in the event that the ODBC fails during the Master D2A Update processes, the database's system files could be cleared, destabilising the database. The processes have now been amended to ensure the ODBC SQLs execute successfully *before* the overnight processes are executed.

**Test Goals:** Test that the database system files are not cleared when the ODBC fails during the overnight update.

**Test Method:** Change the ODBC name so that the connection deliberately fails when running the Master D2A update. Confirm that the system data was not cleared, using Market Share reports as an example.

Test Status: **Success**

File Edit Format View Help
Error: Prolog procedure line (86): Unable to open data source: "CoreBIS"

		MC	
		Measure: (\$ million) ▾	
		Period: Apr 2025 ▾	
		Cash and deposits with financial institutions	Trad
48848300367 - Agricultural Bank of China Limited		991.4	
13627244848 - Alex Bank Pty Ltd		13.6	
15081596009 - AMP Bank Limited		213.7	
37002950745 - Arab Bank Australia Limited		36.1	
11005357522 - Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited		47,056.0	
48087649741 - Australian Military Bank Ltd		58.9	

2.4 CU371: Add Drill View not working (Risk: L)


CoreBIS users attempting to create a new drill view experienced an issue whereby, after entering a name for the new view, it would not correctly generate. Instead, users would see a view appear with an error in the format of: Cube:xCubeView\_Add(Date).

This has been resolved in the latest update. Users will now see the view they added with the name: Cube: View Name.

**Test Goals:** Test the successful addition of a new drill view.

**Test Method:** Create a test drill view using the Tagged\_Loan\_Book cube, confirm the view appears and functions correctly.

Before:

CoreBIS

DRILL - SELECTIONS

Recalculate

Drilled down on: TAGGED\_Loan\_Book

Add New Drill View Name: Arrears Days

Add a New Drill View


Modify Drill View

Remove Drill View

Notes: To drill in a view right click in the yellow cells and in the pop-up menu select "Drill".  
To create a new drill view, ensure the "Add New Drill View Name" field appears (Tagged And Lite only allow this option)  
To modify an existing view layout select the row and click on "Modify Drill View"

Data Source	DrillCube
TM1 Model	
Data Model	
Tagged Data	
TAGGED_Loan_Book:Default	Drill to View
TAGGED_Loan_Book:Clear_zCubeView_Add202506	Drill to View
TAGGED_Loan_Book:Clear_zCubeView_Add202506	Drill to View

After:

CoreBIS

DRILL - SELECTIONS

Recalculate

Drilled down on: TAGGED\_Loan\_Book

Add New Drill View Name: Test

Add a New Drill View

Modify Drill View

Remove Drill View

Notes: To drill in a view right click in the yellow cells and in the pop-up menu select "Drill".  
To create a new drill view, ensure the "Add New Drill View Name" field appears (Tagged And Lite only allow this option)  
To modify an existing view layout select the row and click on "Modify Drill View"

Data Source	DrillCube
TM1 Model	
Data Model	
Tagged Data	
TAGGED_Loan_Book:Default	Drill to View
TAGGED_Loan_Book:Test	Drill to View

Test Status: Success

2.5 CU374: #Value errors with PA 2.1.12 (Risk: L)

The latest version of Planning Analytics resulted in some minor formatting issues in several CoreBIS websheets. Each websheet has been reviewed, and any formatting anomalies have been resolved.

Note: The change has no impact on clients who have installed earlier versions.

**Test Goals:** Review each CoreBIS worksheet to ensure no anomalies are caused using the latest version of Planning Analytics.

**Test Method:** Install the latest version of Planning Analytics on a test server and install CoreBIS. Using CoreBIS, review the formatting and functionality of each and every websheet to ensure no changes have occurred and any formatting anomalies have been resolved.

Test Status: **Success**

Before:

T_DataSource_Calculati	TAGGED_General_Ledger	TAGGED_Loan_Book	
-	79,279	-	#VALUE!
-	6,225,575	-	#VALUE!
-	-	-	#VALUE!
-	-	-	#VALUE!
-	-	-	#VALUE!
-	22,657,502	-	#VALUE!
-	-	-	#VALUE!
-	-	-	#VALUE!
-	-	-	#VALUE!

After:

NT_DataSource_Apportid	INT_DataSource_Calculati	TAGGED_Loan_Book	
-	-	-	<div></div>
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
-	-	-	
13,049,217	-38,646,330	-	
-	-	-	
25,597,113	-38,646,330	-	



2.6 CU376: ARS\_110: SFI status changes rules (Risk: L)

Significant Financial Institutions (SFIs) have some unique reporting requirements from other ADIs, including attributes in ARS 110 specifically applicable to SFIs and non-SFIs.

Cortell has added an SFI "flag" to the SYS\_Control cube to identify clients' SFI Status.

The derivation in ARS\_110, Section B, item 2.2 has been updated to depend on this SFI flag.

**Test Goals:** Test that the derivation in ARS\_110, Section B, item 2.2 returns the correct result for both SFIs and non-SFIs.

**Test Method:** Set the SFI Status to both SFI and non-SFI and test that the derivation in ARS\_110, Section B, item 2.2 returns the correct result for both approaches.

**Test Status:** Success

Non-SFI:

1.4. Total RWA for credit risk	100,000,000
2. Operational risk	
2.1. Standardised approach	0
2.2. Calculated for non-SFIs	10,000,000
2.3. Total RWA for operational risk	10,000,000

SFI:

1.4. Total RWA for credit risk	100,000,000
2. Operational risk	
2.1. Standardised approach	0
2.2. Calculated for non-SFIs	0
2.3. Total RWA for operational risk	0

2.7 CU377: ARS\_110: ARS\_115 Link missing 12.5% (Risk: L)

ARS\_110 Section B: Item 2.1 was previously a derivation calculating the RWA equivalent of Standardised Operational Risk, derived from ARS\_115. While this functioned correctly, some users wanted the ability to update the values more regularly than ARS\_115 requires. Therefore, the derivation has been removed, allowing users to map directly to the attribute, depending on their internal needs.

The change is effective from the new ARS\_110\_v20.

**Test Goals:** Confirm that the attribute, CBAC110\_0\_1147, in ARS\_110\_v20 is no longer a derivation and users can map or manually adjust the value, as required.

**Test Method:** Open the new ARS\_110\_v20 (ensuring to copy the mappings from the previous version 17), confirm that CBAC110\_0\_1147 is no longer a derivation and map the attribute to a tagged cube. Also, overlay a manual adjustment to ensure that the function works.

**Test Status:** Success

Before (v17):

2. Operational risk	
2.1. Standardised approach	0
2.2. Calculated for non-SFIs	0
2.3. Total RWA for operational risk	0

After (v20):

2. Operational risk	248,910,974
2.1. Standardised approach	0
2.2. Calculated for non-SFIs	
2.3. Total RWA for operational risk	248,910,974

2.8 CU378: ARF\_180\_1: Derivation rule: CS23687 (Risk: L)

When populating ARF\_180\_1, some users experienced an issue with the derivation of item 7.11. The Total CVA RWE was not updating when users changed the approach for calculating CVA Risk Capital Charge in item 7.1.

The derivation rule for item 7.11 has been updated accordingly to return either 12.5x the value of item 7.9 or 1x the value of item 7.10, for standardised and simplified approaches, respectively.

**Test Goals:** Test that the CVA capital charge in items 7.11 is correctly calculated based on the approach selected in item 7.1.

**Test Method:** Enter data in section 7 of ARF\_180\_1 and test the derivation in item 7.11 for both approaches for calculating the CVA risk capital charge.

**Test Status:** Success

Approach		Approach	
Simplified approach		Standardised formula	
Derived quantity 1	Derived quantity 2	Derived quantity 2	
(5)	(6)	(6)	
0.2	0.0	2	0.0
0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.2	0.0	2	0.0
	0.4		0.4
	2.5		2.5
	2.5		4.7

## 2.9 CU379: ARF\_221\_0 - Counterparty linkage dropping (Risk: L)

ARF 221 Large Exposures tables are a combination of typed (text) dimensions, such as Counterparty Name, and dimensions with a defined list of APRA-approved elements – stored in system lookup cubes.

Some users encountered an error whereby, in the unlikely event that a Counterparty Name matched that of a State (e.g. ACT, QLD, etc) or other dimension element in the lookup cube, the Counterparty Name mapping would disappear.

The process has been amended to exclude any typed dimensions from referencing the lookup cube, thereby permitting any typed value.

**Test Goals:** Confirm that ARF 221 tables populate correctly when a typed dimension value matches that of a state or other dimension element.

**Test Method:** Test that the process has been successfully amended by populating ARF 221 Table 1 with test data, using a Counterparty Name of 'ACT'.

**Test Status:** Success

1. Twenty largest exposures and all those exposures exceeding or equal to 10% of Tier 1 Capital			
Counterparty name/ group counterparty name	Legal Entity Identifier	Counterparty sector	On-balance sheet exposures - loans
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
ACT	L4842H12345	Banking	500,000.0
1.1. Total			500,000.0

### 3. Enhancements to Existing Features

---

#### 3.1 EF356: Update Process Security to align with Groups (Risk: H)

Prior to this update, all CoreBIS processes that were dependent on the user being a member of certain security groups contained statements that first confirmed the user running the process was, indeed, a member of the necessary security groups.

These statements, in certain cases, would cause delays in other processes, including login, while the processes were running.

Therefore, all such processes have been edited to remove these statements, and the processes' security has been aligned with Groups.

**Test Goals:** Test that all edited processes have been aligned with the applicable security groups.

**Test Method:** Create user profiles assigned to different security groups and test each process for each different user to confirm that members of each group can only run the applicable processes.

**Test Status:** **Success**

#### 3.2 EF363: Review D2A Process for derivations for performance (Risk: L)

The process "DIM\_APRA\_Attribute\_Calculation\_Table" can be slow to complete, in some cases taking as long as 700 seconds to run. The process has been reviewed and refined to decrease the run-time, improving performance.

**Test Goals:** Test the "DIM\_APRA\_Attribute\_Calculation\_Table" process to confirm improved performance.

**Test Method:** Run the "DIM\_APRA\_Attribute\_Calculation\_Table" process and compare run-time to prior performance.

**Test Status:** **Success**

3.3 EF370: Workflow Administration: Version Selection (Risk: L)

After creating a new Workflow Version in Section 1 of the Workflow Administration screen, the default Workflow Version in Sections 2 and 3 would previously remain on the current version, necessitating users to always select the new version when reviewing and creating the new Workflow.

The default Workflow Version in the review screens has now been changed to the most recently created Workflow Version, improving user experience.

**Test Goals:** Confirm that the newly created Workflow Version is now the default version in the Review Workflow screens.

**Test Method:** Create a new Workflow Version – W\_2024-12-31\_Submission – and confirm that the default version in each of the Review Workflow screens is the newly created version.

**Test Status:** Success

1. Create New Workflow Version

Current Workflow Version: WF\_2024-06-30\_Submission

Select APRA Period: 2024-12-31

Submission Version: Submission

or type in:

Signoff Order: Forms then Data

Create Version

Manage Versions

2. Review Workflow

Select Workflow Version: WF\_2024-12-31\_Submission

Data Selection

Data User Assignments

Form Selection

Form User Assignments

3. Generate Workflow Version

Select Workflow Version: WF\_2024-12-31\_Submission

Created: 13:23:43 19 AUG 2025

Last Updated: Never

Send Emails? Yes

CoreBIS

DATA SELECTION

Recalculate

Select Workflow Version: WF\_2024-12-31\_Submission

Populate Sources

Resubmission

Datasource

Include: 1 Yes 0 No

Due Date

Reference Submission Data?

CoreBIS

DATA USER ASSIGNMENTS

Recal

Select Workflow Version: WF\_2024-12-31\_Submission


Populate Current Security

Populate from WF Version WF\_202

Filters:

Cube:

User:



FORM SELECTION


Recalculate

Select Workflow Version: 

WF\_2024-12-31\_Submission

Populate from Return Lis

Return	Entity	Form	Includ
Capital Adequacy Return - Standardised	LEVEL1:CoreBIS No.1	ARF_111_0	
	LEVEL2:CoreBIS No.1	ARF_111_0	



FORM USER ASSIGNMENTS

Recalcu

Select Workflow Version: 

WF\_2024-12-31\_Submission

Populate Current Security

Filters:

Return:

Populate from WF Version

WF\_2024-

### 3.4 EF372: APRA Connect Table Mapping Performance (Risk: L)

Running Table Mapping can sometimes be a slow process when running for the first time on large APRA Connect datasets with Account IDs in the custom dimensions, creating multiple new dimension elements.

The Table Mapping process has been edited to assist in improved performance.

**Test Goals:** Confirm an improvement in the run time of the Table Mapping process, using a large dataset with Account IDs populating the custom dimensions for the first time.

**Test Method:** Run Table Mapping on a large dataset with Account IDs populating the custom dimensions for the first time to measure an improvement in the run time.

**Test Status:** Success

### 3.5 EF373: Add a recurring flag in the table adjustment screen (Risk: L)

A recurring flag has been added to the Manual Table Adjustment screen, allowing users to select whether or not a table adjustment should be a one-off or recurring.


Previously, users had to enter a table adjustment, then enter the separate Manual Adjustment screen and check the 'recurring' box. This enhanced feature avoids that extra step, improving the user experience.

Note: leaving the field blank = not recurring.

**Test Goals:** Confirm the new recurring table adjustment column has been added and test the functionality.

**Test Method:** Add a table adjustment to ARF 743 in June, selecting 'Yes' in the recurring column. Submit the adjustment. Review the June 2025 Manual Adjustment report. Create a July Workflow to confirm the recurring adjustment was also present.

**Test Status:** Success



### MANUAL TABLE ADJUSTMENTS

RecalculateReturn Table Adjustments

Submit Adjustments

APRA\_Entity: DOMESTIC:CoreBIS No.1

APRA\_Form\_Variant: ARF\_743\_0

APRA\_Return\_Version: W\_2024-06-30\_Submission

APRA\_Table: TBL\_ARF\_743\_0\_Table2 - 3. New borrower-accepted commitments for housing loans to resident first-home buyers - by location

OI14265	OI14266	BSAO28500	BSAO28501	Comment	Recurring	Error Msg
Location of property	Property purpose	Number of facilities	Value			
1	Tasmania	Owner-occupied	1	500000 Test	Yes	
2			0	0		

#### 3. New borrower-accepted commitments for housing loans to resident first-home buyers - by location

Location of property (1)	Property purpose (2)	Number of facilities (3)	Value (4)
Tasmania	Owner-occupied	1	500,000.0



June 2024:

<div><div>CoreBIS</div><div>ADJUSTMENTS REPORT</div><div>Recalculate</div></div>										
<div><div>APRA Entity</div><div>DOMESTIC:CoreBIS No.1</div><div>APRA Form Variant</div><div>ARF_743_0</div><div>Return Version 1</div><div>W_2024-06-30_Submission</div><div>Return Version 2</div><div></div><div>Drill on Value</div></div>										
						W_2024-06-30_Submission				
Entity	Form Variant	Attribute	Item	Description	Value	Comments	User	Timestamp	Recurring	
DOMESTIC:CoreBIS No	ARF_743_0	BSAO28484_C	1	OI14262:Tasmania OI14263:Internal refinance OI14264:Owner-occupied - Number of	55.0	test	Karl Blackler	15 AUG 2025 11:09	No	
		BSAO28485_C	1	OI14262:Tasmania OI14263:Internal refinance OI14264:Owner-occupied - Value	55.0	test	Karl Blackler	15 AUG 2025 11:09	No	
		BSAO28500_C	1	OI14265:Tasmania OI14266:Owner-occupied - Number of facilities	1.0	test	Admin	19 AUG 2025 14:38	Yes	
		BSAO28501_C	1	OI14265:Tasmania OI14266:Owner-occupied - Value	500,000.0	Test	Admin	19 AUG 2025 14:38	Yes	

July 2024:

<div><div>CoreBIS</div><div>ADJUSTMENTS REPORT</div><div>Recalculate</div></div>										
<div><div>APRA Entity</div><div>DOMESTIC:CoreBIS No.1</div><div>APRA Form Variant</div><div>ARF_743_0</div><div>Return Version 1</div><div>W_2024-07-31_Submission</div><div>Return Version 2</div><div>2024-06-30</div><div>Drill on Value</div></div>										
						W_2024-07-31_Submission				
Entity	Form Variant	Attribute	Item	Description	Value	Comments	User	Timestamp	Recurring	
DOMESTIC:CoreBIS No	ARF_743_0	BSAO28500_C	1	OI14265:Tasmania OI14266:Owner-occupied - Number of facilities	1.0	Test (Recurring Adj)	System (Originally: A	19 AUG 2025 14:40	Yes	
		BSAO28501_C	1	OI14265:Tasmania OI14266:Owner-occupied - Value	500,000.0	Test (Recurring Adj)	System (Originally: A	19 AUG 2025 14:40	Yes	

3.6 EF381: Table Mapping: Add a weighting to the mapping (Risk: L)

The CoreBIS Table Mapping module – specifically the Map Table Data screen – has had a Weighting feature added to allow users to change the sign of values reported in Tables.

This is especially useful for the new ARS 117, which requires liabilities/outflows to be reported as a negative number.

The (default) of 0 will apply a +1 weighting, while a -1 weighting will reverse the sign of the data.

**Test Goals:** Test the Table Mapping weighting to confirm the functionality works correctly when populating tables in CoreBIS.

**Test Method:** Test the Table Mapping weighting feature by populating ARS 117 Table 1, using both a weighting of 0 (+1) and -1, and review the results.

**Test Status:** Success

TAGGED_Debt_Security_Measure		ContractualRepricingCashFlow/ DE956	
Weighting	-1	Note: 0 defaults to 1. For reversed values enter -1.	

Weighting of 0 (+1):

Data Source	Contractual Repricing Cash Flow Amount	Hole Validation
Total	64,789,114,000	
TAGGED_Debt_Security	64,789,114,000	✓

Data Source	Expected Repricing Cash Flow Amount	Hole Validation
Total	64,789,114,000	
TAGGED_Debt_Security	64,789,114,000	✓

Weighting of -1:

Data Source	Contractual Repricing Cash Flow Amount	Hole Validation
Total	(64,789,114,000)	
TAGGED_Debt_Security	(64,789,114,000)	✓

Data Source	Expected Repricing Cash Flow Amount	Hole Validation
Total	64,789,114,000	
TAGGED_Debt_Security	64,789,114,000	✓

## 4. New Features

### 4.1 NF380: Market Share: Quarterly Centralised Publication (Risk: L)

Cortell have added two new reports to the (updated) Market Share menu.

1. The ADI Centralised Publications Statistics – comprising the quarterly statistical information published by APRA, plus several derived ratios added by Cortell.
2. The Centralised Publication Market Share Reports – comprising two dynamic reports that can summarise the APRA statistics in user-friendly formats.

**Test Goals:** Test the functionality and accuracy of the new Centralised Publication reports.

**Test Method:** Open each tab of each report and test the functionality of the picklists, the conditional formatting and calculations, and review results.

**Test Status:** Success

CoreBIS

MARKET SHARE  
STATISTICAL INFORMATION

Recalculate

APRA Market  
Share Statistics

Quarterly ADI Performance

Quarterly ADI Property Exposures

Monthly Banking Statistics

ADI Centralised Publication

Quarterly ADI  
Reports

Quarterly Market Share

Quarterly Dashboard

Centralised Pub. Mkt Share

Monthly ADI  
Reports

Monthly Market Share

APRA DISCLAIMER

Disclaimer: Where APRA does not accept any liability for any loss or damage

CORTELL DISCLAIMER

Disclaimer: Where Cortell does not accept any liability for any loss or damage

CoreBIS

ADI CENTRALISED PUBLICATION STATISTICS

Period: Mar 2025

Sector: Other domestic banks

Mutuals: Y

Measure: Value

	Total Common Equity Tier 1 capital	Total Tier 1 capital	Total capital
48087649741 - Australian Military Bank Ltd	108.6	108.6	108.6
93087650726 - Australian Mutual Bank Ltd	209.6	209.6	209.6
32087652088 - B&E Ltd	106.5	106.5	106.5
21087651607 - Bank Australia Limited	716.6	716.6	716.6
15087651143 - Beyond Bank Australia Limited	703.7	703.7	703.7
57087651385 - Defence Bank Limited	250.9	250.9	250.9
72087650637 - G&C Mutual Bank Limited	315.8	344.3	344.3

CoreBIS

QUARTERLY CENTRALISED PUBLICATION STATISTICS

Select Entity #1: All APRA\_MarketShare\_Institution (n)

Select Entity #2: All APRA\_MarketShare\_Institution (n)

	Mar 2025 Entity #1	Mar 2025 Entity #2	% Share	Dec 2024 Entity #1	Dec 2024 Entity #2
Total Common Equity Tier 1 capital	274,452.7	274,452.7	100.0%	271,694.1	271,694.1
Total Tier 1 capital	316,457.3	316,457.3	100.0%	314,653.0	314,653.0
Total capital base	457,179.1	457,179.1	100.0%	450,068.1	450,068.1
Total risk-weighted assets	2,237,971.2	2,237,971.2	100.0%	2,239,708.7	2,239,708.7
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	20.7	20.7	100.0%	21.2	21.2
Tier 1 capital ratio	21.0	21.0	100.0%	21.6	21.6
Total capital ratio	21.9	21.9	100.0%	22.4	22.4
Credit risk	1,834,289.6	1,834,289.6	100.0%	1,838,388.5	1,838,388.5
Operational risk	223,032.9	223,032.9	100.0%	222,738.5	222,738.5
Market risk	167,283.2	167,283.2	100.0%	167,157.6	167,157.6
Of which: IRRBB - Internal model approach	124,310.5	124,310.5	100.0%	120,190.6	120,190.6

Commercial – in Confidence

## 5. New Taxonomy

---

APRA published an updated APRA Connect taxonomy on the 2nd of June 2025.

### 5.1 EF382: ARS\_110 (ADI\_CAPAD) Taxonomy Update (Risk: L)

Nature of changes:

- Added new item to Section A, Regulatory Capital, Regulatory Adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 Capital - 1.1.2.21 Unrealised losses for securities in liquid asset portfolio that are not measured at fair value
- Updated dynamic text calculations to incorporate the new item
- Added new validation rule - ARS\_110\_0 00064 - related to new item

These changes will affect the **ARS\_110 (ADI\_CAPAD)** for reporting periods ending on or after the 30th of September 2025.

The APRA Connect reporting version below has been updated in CoreBIS.

- ADI\_CAPADv20

